

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/775,274 | GUO ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Bao-Thuy L. Nguyen | 1641 | |

All Participants:

(1) Bao-Thuy L. Nguyen - PTO.

(2) Gregory Sieczkiewicz - Applicant's representative.

Status of Application: ____

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 14 July 2008

Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

36 and 38-40

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Sieczkiewicz authorized the correction of claims 36 and 38-40.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)